1		
	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
2	Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)	
3	charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)	
	davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com	
4	Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)	
5	melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)	
	johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)	
7	jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	
	San Francisco, California 94111-4788	
8	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
9	Facsilille. (413) 873-0700	
	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
13	Districts	
14	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF FELIPE CORREDOR IN SUPPORT OF
	VS.	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
16	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING	PORTIONS OF THEIR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR
	LLC,	RELIEF FROM AND EMERGENCY
17	Defendants.	MOTION FOR STAY OF NON-
18	Defendants.	DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT. 951)
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

2

I, Felipe Corredor, declare as follows:

3

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set

5

2.

4.

forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6

Seal Portions of Their Reply in Support of Their Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for

I make this declaration in support of Defendants' Administrative Motion to File Under

Uber's Motion (portions in red boxes in version filed herewith) contain, reference,

8

7

Stay of Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 951) (the "Administrative Motion").

9

The Administrative Motion seeks an order sealing highlighted portions of Defendants' Reply in

10 11

3. The portions of Uber's Reply marked in red boxes contain or reference trade secret and

Support of Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay ("Uber's Reply").

13

confidential business information, which Waymo seeks to seal.

14

and/or describe Waymo's asserted trade secrets. The information Waymo seeks to seal includes the

15

confidential design and functionality of Waymo's proprietary autonomous vehicle system, which

16

Waymo maintains as secret. I understand that these trade secrets are maintained as secret by Waymo

17 18 (Dkt. 25-47) and are valuable as trade secrets to Waymo's business (Dkt. 25-31). The public

19

disclosure of this information would give Waymo's competitors access to descriptions of the

20

functionality or features of Waymo's autonomous vehicle system. If such information were made

public, I understand that Waymo's competitive standing would be significantly harmed.

20

21

5. Waymo's request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Uber's Reply that

22

23

merit sealing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United

24

States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San

25

Francisco, California, on August 1, 2017.

26

By /s/ Felipe Corredor
Felipe Corredor
Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

2728

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**ATTESTATION** In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Felipe Corredor. By: <u>/s/ Charles K. Verhoeven</u> Charles K. Verhoeven